

## Electrocrystallisation of Conductive Nonstoichiometric Adducts of Tetrathiafulvalene with Inorganic or Organic Anions, and of Similar Adducts of Tetracyanoquinodimethane

By POOPATHY KATHIRGAMANATHAN, STUART A. MUCKLEJOHN, and DAVID R. ROSSEINSKY  
(Department of Chemistry, The University, Exeter EX4 4QD)

**Summary** Growth of single crystals of  $\text{TTFX}_n$  by electrocrystallisation on a platinum anode in acetonitrile solutions of  $\text{TTF}^0$  and  $\text{X}^-$  gives unidimensionally metallic nonstoichiometric ( $n \neq 1$ ) adducts (TTF is tetrathiafulvalene,  $\text{X}^-$  is  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$ ,  $\text{I}^-$ ,  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{BF}_4^-$ ,  $\text{HSO}_4^-$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{O}_4\text{K}^-$ ,  $\text{OAc}^-$ , maleate, fumarate, *p*- $\text{MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_2^-$ ,  $\text{N}_3^-$ , or  $\text{B}_4\text{O}_7^-$ , the last three giving powders or microcrystals); conductivities at *ca.* 300 K are  $10^{-3}$ – $20 \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ , and  $(\text{NO}_2)_{0.1}$  TCNQ (TCNQ = tetracyanoquinodimethane) and other TCNQ adducts were likewise prepared cathodically.

PYRENE, perylene, and azulene perchlorates have been prepared by electrocrystallisation,<sup>1</sup> as have single crystals of perylene nickel dithiolate.<sup>2</sup> Tetrathiafulvalenium bromide (TTFBr) was also prepared electrochemically,<sup>3</sup> and

non-stoichiometric halides  $\text{TTF}(\text{Hal})_n$  ( $n < 1$ ) were prepared by  $\text{NEt}_4\text{Hal}$  diffusion into electrochemically generated  $\text{TTF-TTF}^+$  solution in MeCN.<sup>4</sup> Stoichiometric TTFCl from synthesis<sup>5</sup> contrasts with  $\text{TTFCl}_{0.68 \pm 0.03}$  prepared photochemically.<sup>6</sup> We have now used direct electrocrystallisation on a Pt anode in  $\text{TTF}^0$  solution also containing  $\text{R}_4\text{N}^+\text{X}^-$ , to obtain  $\text{TTFX}_n$  crystals, where usually  $n < 1$ . X represents a variety of anions not hitherto complexed with TTF, or, otherwise, not complexed in the stoichiometry that we have obtained. Thus, the  $\text{N}_3^-$  adduct has not been hitherto preparable,<sup>7</sup> and the generation of  $\text{TTF}^+$  in the presence of  $\text{TTF}^0$  together with such anions as  $\text{HSO}_4^-$  [not readily obtainable in the uncharged form, contrasting with, *e.g.*, tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ)] at a growth-supporting electrode surface, provides a new

general technique for preparing TTF adducts with such stable anions. The conductivities,  $\sigma$ , are anisotropic and unidimensionally metallic in the cases tested.

The electrocrystallisations were conducted under nitrogen in acetonitrile at controlled potentials determined by preliminary cyclic voltammetry, in the region of 0.75 V (w.r.t. S.C.E.), a potential sufficient<sup>8</sup> to generate TTF<sup>2+</sup>. LiClO<sub>4</sub> was used as supporting electrolyte when needed to enhance the solution conductance. The crystals took 9–15 h to grow and were usually black and needle shaped (ca. 3 × 0.1 × 0.1 mm<sup>3</sup>), but occasionally were powder, micro-crystalline, or blocklets (see Table).

TABLE. Properties of TTFX<sub>n</sub>

Compound	X	<i>n</i>	$\sigma/\Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$
Ref. 5	Cl	1	0.27
Ref. 6	Cl	0.68 ± 0.03	0.63, powder
(1)	Cl	1.1	0.06 <sup>a</sup> , 4
(2)	BF <sub>4</sub>	0.55	0.6 <sup>a</sup> , 0.08
(3)	NO <sub>3</sub>	0.55	20 <sup>a</sup> , 4
(4)	Br	0.7	1.4 <sup>a</sup> , 0.3
(5)	HCO <sub>3</sub>	3/2	0.9
(6)	HSO <sub>4</sub>	1.2	0.03
(7)	C <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> K.3/2H <sub>2</sub> O	1	0.005
(8)	MeCO <sub>2</sub>	ca. 0.7	3
(9)	I	0.7	10
(10)	Maleate		0.02
(11)	Fumarate	ca. 0.25	0.002
(12)	Tosylate	1	Powder
(13)	B <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	0.5	"
(14)	N <sub>3</sub>	0.74	Microcrystals

<sup>a</sup> Four probe; otherwise 2-probe.

Conductivities are given in the Table together with values of *n*, which were constant for successive preparations or for different crystals of the same batch, which indicates that the compositions are specific rather than adventitious.

X-Ray diffraction on TTFCl<sub>1.1</sub> and TTF(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>0.55</sub> clearly establishes their single crystallinity. It may be surmised that for a particular substance the most conductive composition is in part favoured (selected) by the method, since resistive (*e.g.* stoichiometric) material could inhibit the progress of the surface redox reactions giving rise to crystal growth.

Preliminary temperature dependence measurements on the adducts (1) and (3) show enhanced conductivity along the needle axes on cooling, with maxima at *ca.* 271 K for (1) and 291 K for (3), but at 341 K for (2) [*i.e.* (2) is metallic only above 341 K]. Below 220 K such conductivities dropped below 10<sup>-3</sup> Ω<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. The metal-semiconductor transition temperatures are unusually high, and the values of  $\sigma_{\text{max}}/\sigma_{\text{RT}}$  can be large, 134 for one sample of (1), but more usually 1.5–15. Four probe conductimetry in an Oxford Instruments CF100 Cryotip was employed. TTF-(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>0.55</sub> showed an unusual second conductivity maximum at 180 K, implying a semiconductor-metal transition at 200 K with the reverse again at 180 K.

Crystals of (NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>0.1</sub>TCNQ having  $\sigma(\text{needle}) = 0.01 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  have been grown cathodically in a solution of TCNQ<sup>0</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>, as have stoichiometric crystals of NEt<sub>4</sub>-(TCNQ)<sub>2</sub> (rectangular blocklets) and acridinium-TCNQ. Powders of Methylene Blue-TCNQ and ZnI(TCNQ)<sub>1.6</sub> have likewise been obtained. Wide extensions of the technique can be foreseen, such as the growing of mixed (doped) crystals, and employment of other donor and acceptor units.

We thank Mr. T. E. Booty for invaluable technical assistance, the S.R.C. for support, Dr. P. Taylor who kindly provided the NO<sub>2</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>, and Dr. M. A. Mazid for undertaking the X-ray crystallography.

(Received, 10th October 1978; Com. 1092.)

<sup>1</sup> T. C. Chiang, A. H. Reddoch, and D. F. Williams, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1971, **54**, 2051.

<sup>2</sup> L. Alcacer and A. H. Maki, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1974, **78**, 215.

<sup>3</sup> F. B. Kaufman, E. M. Engler, D. C. Green, and J. Q. Chambers, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **98**, 1596.

<sup>4</sup> B. A. Scott, S. J. La Placa, J. B. Torrance, B. D. Silverman, and B. Welber, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 6631.

<sup>5</sup> F. Wudl, D. Wobschall, and E. J. Hufnagel, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 670.

<sup>6</sup> B. A. Scott, P. B. Kaufman, and E. M. Engler, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **98**, 4342.

<sup>7</sup> F. Wudl, G. A. Thomas, D. E. Schafer, and N. M. Walsh, Jr., *Mol. Cryst. and Liq. Cryst.*, 1976, **32**, 147.

<sup>8</sup> D. L. Coffen, J. A. Chambers, D. R. Williams, P. F. Garrett, and N. D. Canfield, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1971, **93**, 2258.